Strategies for Policy in Science and Education Volume 31, Number 3s, 2023 Стратегии на образователната и научната политика

https://doi.org/10.53656/str2023-3s -7-pre

Research Results Резултати от научни изследвания

PRE-INCUBATION TOOLKITS FOR ACADEMIC ENTREPRENEURSHIP FOSTERING: BULGARIAN CASE

Prof. Dr. Nikolay Sterev

University of National and World Economy

Abstract. The economic growth and development becomes more and more dependent of the innovation and technology transfer nowadays. Thus, the focus of the common economical and educational policy is moved on development talents and the policy instruments are built to search for innovation signs from the students' bench. So, not just the Universities, but business as well, are given the priority to develop entrepreneurial training and to foster academic entrepreneurship. Accordingly, a lot of digital tools are developing not just to find academic entrepreneurs at their early stage but to create appropriate knowledge helping them to avoid the entrepreneurial "valley of death". The paper aims to give a discussion on the main characteristics of the academic entrepreneurs and academic entrepreneurship that are used as a focal point to foster the academic innovations development at the early stage of the pre-incubation. The main thesis is that the (Bulgarian) Universities could be a successful entrepreneurial pool as they develop and use appropriate digital pre-incubation tools.

Keywords: academic entrepreneurship; pre-incubation; entrepreneurial mindset; (Bulgarian) universities

1. Introduction: literature preview of the academic entrepreneurship background

Entrepreneurship and entrepreneur are explained as the heart and blood system of the contemporary economy. We have a lot of examples of people that succeeded as entrepreneurs and their entrepreneurial business is worthy not just for themselves but for society as well.

Researchers appoint the very first understanding of economic thoughts and business economics backward to the first economic theories. Thus, the recent business economics and understanding of economic development are grounded in the first economists (see. Cantillon, A.Smith, H. Devenport, F. Taylor, H. Fayol, J. Schumpeter and others) (Sterev and Penchev 2023). Accordingly, Hébert and Link (1989) summarize that "*The entrepreneur is a person, not a team, committee* or organization. Entrepreneurial actions are performed in all societies by individuals whose judgement differs from the norm".

As the common concept of entrepreneurship is strongly grounded to business activities, there could be found different "places" to find out entrepreneurs. As the focus of business economics changed from commodities (resp. land and machinery) via humans (resp. labor) to information and intellectual outputs, the role of the "intellectual properties" owner" become more and more important for entrepreneurial development recently. Thus, the entrepreneurs' foundation is messed out from "regular" business as practical invention centers to universities as knowledge creation centers. Accordingly, business entrepreneurship converts to academic entrepreneurship nowadays.

Academic entrepreneurship is not a new topic but it has been re-invented last decade. As the very first publication that gives the role of the universities to facilitating the entrepreneurship process (Lamont, 1972) the academic interest is focused on researching the entrepreneurs' background and the role of the Universities in training entrepreneurs for more than 30 years. Therefore, the very first role of the Universities in supporting entrepreneurship is to train entrepreneurs.

In 2002, Huffman and Quigley set the role of the Universities to attract hightech entrepreneurs through: "network events", internships, business scholarships, and business incubators. Following Toole and Czarnitzki (2007) academic entrepreneurship is defined as a form of technology transfer. In that meaning, the academic entrepreneur is a researcher / scientific investigator that takes part in the commercialization of an originated technology. Furthermore, Feldman et al. (2002) found a connection between the commercialization of the intellectual property of American Universities and equity instruments for Technology transfer (as the first step to fostering academic entrepreneurship - a.n.).

The summary of the role of the universities to provide an academic environment that may serve as a catalyst for high-technology start-ups is given by Franke and Leuthje (2004) and Vutsova and Yalamov (2023). Furthermore, Vedovello and Godinho (2003) found that one of the main instruments for enforcing entrepreneurship is to support (academic) business incubators as they mainly support entrepreneurs and the so-called academic entrepreneurs. Some of the main mechanisms for positive linkage between universities and entrepreneurship are based on technology transfer opportunities (Table 1).

Process mechanisms – services	Process mechanisms – organizational arrangements	Output mechanisms
Consultation / Expert services	Centers of excellence / High-tech centers	Congresses, workshops, seminars
Continuing Education	Innovation centers, business incubators, research parks	Doctoral theses, Master's theses

Table 1. Technology transfer mechanisms in universities

Nikolay Sterev

Contract Research	Technology transfer offices /TTOs/	Patents, licenses
Postgraduate education /		Research databases
Research Projects		Scientific publications
Research laboratories and sponsored (business) research		
Teachers / Student exchange		

Source: based on Autio, E. and Laamanen, T., 1995. Measurement and evaluation of technology transfer: review of technology transfer mechanisms and indicators. Int. J. Technology Management, Vol. 10, No. 7/8, pp.643 – 664.

In: Vedovello & Godinho (2003).

In summary, academic entrepreneurship covers different players: teachers, researchers, students, and university administration staff that are involved in different university processes (training process, research process, expertise process) and have high intention to academic-business results (e.g. patenting, licensing, scientific publication and etc.) by organizational help provision through: center of excellences, TTOs and etc.

2. Entrepreneurial development stages: pre-incubation stage

Adopting Witt and Zellner (2005) to become an academic entrepreneur it is the migration of academic persons (researchers, teachers, students) as a co-



Figure 1. Valley of start-up death

Source: Osawa and Miyazaki, 2006

founder a technology-oriented start-up firm based on knowledge transfer from academic / public to the business sphere. But, as many researchers found just 3-5 % of academicians succeed nevertheless more than 25-28% to have an intent for starting up their "academic" business.

Furthermore, entrepreneurial training is the first step of recognition of the academic entrepreneurs. Thus, the traditional entrepreneurial education could be

A. 21-se	ssion social entrepreneurship training			
1-2	Mutual acquaintance and team building	337.1	Helped young people understand themselves, including their character strengths and personality, and review	
	- Team work activities	With		
	- Self-understanding and review	simulation		
	- Career interests and orientation	games and	their career interests, planning, and	
		exercises	orientation	
3-6	Entrepreneurship knowledge and skills	With start-ups and successful cases' sharing	Taught them entrepreneurship	
	 Economic and job market 		knowledge and skills, including economic and job market analysis, business set-up and development strategies, business administration, finance and human resources	
	- Business set-up and development			
	- Administration, finance, and human			
	resources			
	 Business innovation and risk taking 			
7–10	Entrepreneurship training		management, business innovation,	
	- Concept, knowledge, attitudes, and		and risk taking	
	values			
	 Entrepreneurial problem analysis 			
	 Needs and problems of people 			
	- Innovative business plans with social			
	and economic goals			
11-15	Agency visits		social entrepreneurs' sharing on the	
	 3D printing firm, VR and AR 		concept and their experience in using	
	technology firm, wastes recycling	Outdoor visit	their businesses to solve various	
	company, organic farming, apps		social problems	
	writing laboratory			
16-20	Business plan development	With	to develop a business plan that is	
	 3 young people a group to develop a 	mentorship	financially sustainable and	
	business plan to solve a social	support and	conceptually contributory to solving a	
	problem	guidance	social problem	
	 Successful start-ups play the role as 			
	mentors to guide each group of			
	young people			
21	Pitching day	Social		
	 Each group presents their business 	entrepreneurs		
	plan and receives questions from a	form a jury		
	jury	_		
	- 3 groups of young people win the			
	pitch and move to the 6-month pre-			
incubation training		-		
B. 60 h Pre-incumbents consultations			Each plan was availed in seed money	
			nuclear Montorship advice	
			problem. Mentorship advice and	
			Erench-based organization and the	
			NGO	
			NUO.	

 Table 2. Content of pre-incubation entrepreneurial training

Source: Tam, Asamoah and Chan (2021)

set as a preliminary stage before incumbents' start, resp. it is a pre-incubation stage.

According to Osawa and Miyazaki (2006) at the commercialization stage the entrepreneurial company is vulnerable because it loss increased and business success is looking too far away, so called "valley of Death" (Figure 1).

Hansen et al. (2022) set that many results from industry-academia research and innovations end up at the Valley of Death. They found that implementation of the agile principles could be a supporting tool that bridges out the Valley of Death in academic entrepreneurial projects.

Tam, Asamoah and Chan (2021) analyzed results from blended entrepreneurial training on the pre-incubation stage for social entrepreneurship development and they set a number of topics (four in 21 sessions) for bridging the valley of death on the pre-incubation stage (Table 2).

In summary, mainly the early-stage entrepreneurs could not survive, as they have not possessed the "right" entrepreneurial competencies. Passing by the entrepreneurial "valley of death" is a team work and group training in the preincubation stage is the possible decision of the "death problem". The question is: Could the common (academic) entrepreneurial training be used at the preincubation stage?

3. Methodology for finding an early stage academic entrepreneurs

Understanding the role of the universities for developing (academic) entrepreneurs is based on the debate: Could be entrepreneurs trained? And, there are two mainstreams of understanding entrepreneurs (Adcroft, Willis and Dhaliwal 2004):

- The entrepreneurs could be trained via post-graduate / under-graduate training.

- The entrepreneurs could not be manufactured, just recognized.

Despite the debate, entrepreneurial recognition could be done better at the time of training them as the process of finding young people that intend to be entrepreneurs never have been so hard. As the tradition of the training of entrepreneurship in USA is appoint backward to the 1930s in Harvard Business School, entrepreneurial education in the (German speaking) European universities become important at the mid-1990s (Franke and Leuthje 2004) and in Bulgarian Universities as well – in the mid-1990s (Yordanov 2019).

So, there is a long academic tradition to research the entrepreneurial intention of students. As it is not simplifying the process of identification of entrepreneurial students' intention with the research of their future behavior (resp. Do you think about starting and entrepreneurial business 4 years' prior to your graduation?), the entrepreneurial intention could be found in a bundle of internal factors (e.g., Bygrave 1989; Moore 1986).

As the personality and behavioral studies explained recently, there are some personal factors that inspire entrepreneurs as well as some behavioral attitudes forcing the entrepreneurial start. Basically, all of them describe the main characteristics of entrepreneurship: risk taking need; locus of control; need of others achieving and others. The main question is: do they could be developed by training and education? The simple answer is: YES, and there are some studies analyzing the role of the Entrepreneurial training and the intention for entrepreneurship (Clark, Davis and Harnish 1984; Brown 1990; Gorman, Hanlon and King 1997; Vesper and McMullan 1997; Franke and Lutje 2004 and others).

Sousa (2018) based on previous research defines the "entrepreneurial leadership" as constructing a set of trained entrepreneurial skills that focused on innovations and value creation. Nieuwenhuizen and Groenewald (2008) and Nieuwenhuizen and Schachtebeck (2021) found that essential entrepreneurial skills are seldom addressed in entrepreneurial training. Accordingly, they analyzed individual entrepreneurial skills (self-concept, creativity and innovation, risk orientation, good human relations, and perseverance, and positive attitude) and entrepreneurial education that are more noticeable by students (innovativeness, proactiveness, and risk-taking).

Additionally, Coony (2012) and Moran and Cooney (2004) found that it is difficult to get the level of entrepreneurial content right for everyone in the group during the entrepreneurial training. And, it is needed an individual approach to any academic entrepreneur. Thus, following Kutzhanova et al (2009) there is a need for individual entrepreneurial skill measurement for

Areas	Competences	Hints	
p Sa	1.1 Spotting opportunities	Use your imagination and abilities to identify opportunities for creating value	
nit	1.2 Creativity	Develop creative and purposeful ideas	
eas	1.3. Vision	Work towards your vision of the future	
p Id	1.4 Valuing ideas	Make the most of ideas and opportunities	
-1 o	1.5 Ethical and sustainable thinking	Assess the consequences and impact of ideas, opportunities and actions	
2. Durces	2.1 Self-awareness and self-efficacy	Believe in yourself and keep developing	
	2.2 Motivation and perseverance	Stay focused and don't give up	
	2.3 Mobilizing resources	Gather and manage the resources you need	
kes	2.4 Financial and economic literacy	Develop financial and economic know how	
	2.5. Mobilizing others	Inspire, enthuse and get others on board	
e	3.1 Taking the initiative	Go for it	
ito actio	3.2 Planning and management	Prioritize, organize and follow-up	
	3.3 Coping with uncertainty, ambiguity and risk	Make decisions dealing with uncertainty, ambiguity and risk	
I.	3.4 Working with others	Team up, collaborate and network	
3	3.5. Learning through experience	Learn by doing	

Γ	abl	e 3.	EntreComp	conceptual	model
---	-----	------	-----------	------------	-------

Source: Bacigalupo M, Kampylis P, Punie Y and Van Den Brande L. EntreComp: The Entrepreneurship Competence Framework. EUR 27939 EN. Luxembourg (Luxembourg): Publications Office of the European Union; 2016. JRC101581, https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/handle/JRC101581 better tailoring the entrepreneurial training of students according to their needs as well as continual monitoring of any change in their entrepreneurial skill.

Following the given points, we use **EntreComp**: The entrepreneurship competence framework, developed to describe entrepreneurship as a lifelong competence and to identify measure results of entrepreneurial learning. The focus is given to the exploration of connection "entrepreneurial training – entrepreneurial value creation" based on universal transversal skills (Table 3).

The next study is based on the EntreComp methodology and it is done on three steps¹:

Round 1. The research is done within an entrepreneurial training group just before the start of the entrepreneurial education. All of the evaluations are based on the personal knowledge and skills and present the personal understanding of the entrepreneurial skills.

As the group is formed with participants within 3 groups: students, teachers/researchers, and entrepreneurs, the *Hypothesis 1* is defined: *there is a difference between the three groups* (resp. between the average values).

Round 2. A blended entrepreneurial training is done according to the personal entrepreneurial intention of the participants. The training is based on discussion and role games that emphasize practical knowledge covering all 15 entrepreneurial skills areas.

Then, the second research is done to find out the difference to the entrepreneurial intention before and after the training. Thus the *Hypothesis 2* is defined: *there is a difference between entrepreneurial intention before and after the entrepreneurial training* (resp. between the average values)

Round 3. Statistical analysis of the results is done in order to divide the entrepreneurial skills that are notable for entrepreneurial training. The main *Hypothesis 3* is defined: *there are entrepreneurial skills that are more or less noticeable by students than others*.

The results could be summarized as follows.

- 30 trainees took part of the (pre-incubation) academic entrepreneurial training;

- the trainees fulfill one and the same questionnaire based on the EntreComp methodology before and after the training;

- the trainees cover 3 academic roles: teachers/professors, students, and researchers;

- the trainees cover 3 business roles: failed entrepreneurs, active entrepreneurs and "future" entrepreneurs.

The main demographic characteristics are given on Table 4.



Table 4. Characteristics of training group

The figures show that there is equity of gender and age inside the group as half of the group are "man" and other half – "Woman" as well as half of the group are young under 35 years old and other are matured – over 35 years old.

According to their academic roles: 37% are students, 23% are business mentors/teachers, and 40% – professors / researchers. According to the business experience: 57% have no business experience, 20% - have previous experience in business and 23% – manage business at the time of training.

The intention for starting business shows: 27% expect to start business within next 4 years.

According the Round 1 research we built the entrepreneurial profile by the 15th entrepreneurial skills (Figure 2)

According to the figures (Figure 1) we could found 3 groups of entrepreneurial skills:

– Important skills:

- Creativity; visions; motivation; and taking the initiative.

– Average important skills:

- Self-awareness; planning and management; coping with risk; working with others; and learning by doing.



Figure 2. Entrepreneurial skills before training

- Unimportant skills:

- Sustainable thinking; valuable ideas; mobilizing others; mobilizing resources; financial literacy; mobilizing others; and spotting opportunities.

We found the next difference between the 3 groups (Figure 3):



Figure 3. Entrepreneurial skills between groups before training

According to Figure 3, the students are skeptical about their entrepreneurial skills as the professors are optimistic. Nevertheless, students are best in spotting opportunities as the professors are best in motivation, self-awareness and taking the initiative and business mentors: in creativity, vision and mobilizing others. The figures show that there is a significant difference in entrepreneurial skills before training between the three groups. So we **CONFIRM** *Hypothesis 1*.

We found differences between the entrepreneurial skills as results of training (Figure 4.)

Pre-Incubation Toolkits for Academic Entrepreneurship...



Figure 4. Entrepreneurial skills between groups before and after training

According to Figure 4. we found:

- Increase of skills:
- Creativity, valuable ideas, self-awareness, financial literacy, taking initiative;
- Decrease of skills:
- Coping with risk, working with others and visions.

The figures show that there is a significant difference in entrepreneurial skills before and after training so we **CONFIRM** *Hypothesis 2*.

According to the previous results we checked the difference of entrepreneurial competencies before and after training for the 3 groups (Figure 5).





The figures show that the students have bettering their entrepreneurial skills more than other academic participants in three fields: learning through experience, valuing ideas and motivation as the teachers/professors improve their: creativity, visions, coping with risk and taking the initiatives. So we **CONFIRM** *Hypothesis 3*.

In summary, the pre-incubation (academic) entrepreneurial training shows that it changes the "entrepreneurial game" as the trainees are better motivated, more creative and more oriented to taking initiatives. These entrepreneurial skills are positive to reduce the risk of falling down at the entrepreneurial "valley of death" as well as improve the numbers of successful academic entrepreneurial stories.

4. Conclusions: Digital pre-incubation instruments

Accordingly, we agree with the Huffman and Quigley (2002) who found that "the university is important in attracting human capital to the local area and in stimulating entrepreneurial talent in the region". This is a result of highly concentration of the human capital that leads to straighten the link between universities and regional business.

As the conventional training problem is how to balance between individual mentoring and group support on academic entrepreneurial programmes, the digital development gives us the opportunity to do a tailored training meeting individual needs with combination of group working in entrepreneurial business networks.

The decision of the entrepreneurial training problem is: Digital Academic Entrepreneurial Platform. The platform is the digital place where:

- Academic people: teachers/professors/students/researchers, could test their entrepreneurial competencies and to observe it improvement.

- The entrepreneurial skills will be given at their average sum and the academic people could find the difference of their skills compared the average and average of different groups.

- An entrepreneurial training materials will be available to be read by academic people according to the "missing entrepreneurial skills".

- An open group discussion will be found by using Social Network Chatrooms.

- A tailor made consultation addressed to some of the specific entrepreneurial issues will be given by live-chat meetings and chat-bots.

In conclusion, digital academic tailored entrepreneurial training is the key for the future success of the Universities to attract young people to become (academic) entrepreneurs. Such training with the participation of academic staff: teachers / professors / researchers, and business mentors gives better motivation and improve the idea generation skills that give better opportunity to trap out the entrepreneurial "valley of death". And this will give the University of future to ogive additional added value to the Next generations of entrepreneurs.

Acknowledgments

The paper is prepared as a part of the research activities of project: "Survey of the level of entrepreneurial competencies among students in Bulgaria" (NID NI-19/2021) as well as by financial support of the project "EconEd 2030: BG05M2OP001-2.016-0004 "ECONOMIC EDUCATION IN BULGARIA 2030".

NOTES

1. The pre-incubation entrepreneurial training is done as activity under the project: "ICT in textile and clothing higher education and business" (612248-EPP-1-2019-1-BG-EPPKA2-KA) (Sterev et al. 2021, 2022).

REFERENCES

- ADCROFT, A.; WILLIS, R. & DHALIWAL S., 2004. Missing the point? Management education and entrepreneurship. *Management Decision*, vol. 42, no. 3. pp. 521 – 531. DOI: 10.1108/00251740410518958.
- AUTIO, E., LAAMANEN, T., 1995. Measurement and evaluation of technology transfer: review of technology transfer mechanisms and indicators. *Int. J. Technology Management*, Vol. 10, No. 7/8, pp. 643 – 664.
- BEJINARU, R., NEAMŢU, D.M., CONDRATOV, I., STANCIU, P., HAPENCIUC C.V., 2023. Exploring the effectiveness of university agenda for developing students' entrepreneurial behavior. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, vol.36, no. 1, pp. 1317 – 1337. DOI: 10.1080/1331677X.2022.2086597.
- COONEY T.M., 2012. Entrepreneurship Skills for Growth-Orientated Businesses, Report for the Workshop on 'Skills Development for SMEs and Entrepreneurship', Copenhagen, 28 November.
- FELDMAN, M.; FELLER I.; BERCOVITZ, J. & BURTON, R., 2002. Equity and the Technology Transfer Strategies of American Research Universities. *Management Science*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 105 – 121. http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.48.1.105.14276.
- FRANKE, N., LUETHJE, C., 2004. Entrepreneurial intentions of business students: A benchmarking study. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, (1/3), pp. 269 – 288. https://doi.org/10.1142/ S0219877004000209.
- HANSEN, I.; MORK, O.J.; WELOV, T. & RINGEN, G., 2022. Bridging the 'Valley of Death': Can Agile Principles Be Applied in Industry-Academia Research and Innovation Projects. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, vol.13, pp. 3172 – 3194. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-021-00846-2.
- HÉBERT, R.F., LINK, A.N., 1989. In search of the meaning of entrepreneurship. *Small Business Economics*, vol. 1, pp. 39–49. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF00389915.
- HUFFMAN, D., QUIGLEY, J.M., 2002. The role of the university in attracting high tech entrepreneurship: A Silicon Valley tale. *Annals of Regional Science*, Vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 403 419.
- IDRIZ, F. & GESHKOV, M., 2019. Contemporary Challenges to Personnel Development in the Industrial Company. *Economic Alternatives Journal*, Issue 4, pp. 596 – 606.

- NIEUWENHUIZEN, C., 2009. "Entrepreneurial Skills", Juta and Company Ltd, ISBN: 0702176931, 9780702176937.
- O'HARA, B. 2011. Entrepreneurship in Ireland. Gill and MacMillan, Dublin.
- OSAWA, Y. & MIYAZAKI, K., 2006. An empirical analysis of the valley of death: Large-scale R&D pro-ject performance in a Japanese diversified company. *Asian Journal of Technology Innovation*, vol.14, no. 2, pp. 93 – 116. DOI: 10.1080/19761597.2006.9668620.
- OVCHARUK, O., 2017, Integration of entrepreneurship key competence into education curricula in Ukraine: the way toward democratic school, Bulletin of KrNU, issue 2 (103), http://visnikkrnu.kdu.edu.ua/ statti/2017 2 82-88 2-17-2.pdf.
- ROMANOWSKI, R. (Ed.) 2019: Managing Economic Innovations Ideas and Institutions. Bogucki Wyd. Nauk., Poznań 2019. ISBN 978-83-7986-276-4 DOI: 10.12657/9788379862764-1, http://bogucki.home.pl/ repozytorium/9788379862764.pdf.
- SOUSA, M.J., 2014. "*Entrepreneurial Skills Development*" Conference Paper (PDF Available) October 2014 with 46,537 Reads Conference: AEBD 14, At Lisbon, pp. 135 – 138. ISBN: 978-960-474-394-0.
- STEREV, N., MILUSHEVA, P., HERTLEER, C., SAEED, H. GUAGLIUMI, V., 2021, Entrepreneurial process in Textile and clothing industry: Technical Report, PH-TU Sofia, r4 Entrepreneurial Process TCI.pdf (ict-tex.eu).
- STEREV, N., MILUSHEVA, P., YORDANOV, D., 2022, Entrepreneurial Process in Textile and Clothing Industry: an overview of European practices, AUTEX 2022 – 21th WORLD TEXTILE CONFERENCE Proceeding, pp. 368 – 372, DOI: 10.34658/9788366741751.76.
- STEREV, N., PENCHEV, P., 2023, *Historical Development of Business Economics: Bulgarian Case*, in Çalıyurt K.T. (ed.), History of Accounting, Management, Business & Economics, Volume I, Elsevier.
- TAM, H.L., ASAMOAH, E., CHAN, A.Y., 2021. Developing Social Entrepreneurship as an Intervention to Enhance Disadvantaged Young People's Sense of Self-Worth and Career Competence in Hong Kong, *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, vol. 16, pp. 2497 – 2526. DOI: 10.1007/s11482-021-09917-7.
- TOOLE, A.A. & CZARNITZKI, D. 2007. Biomedical academic entrepreneurship through the SBIR program. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* Vol. 63, pp. 716 738.
- VEDOVELLO, C., GODINHO, M., 2003. Business incubators as a technological infrastructure for supporting small innovative firms' activities. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management*, vol. 3, no.1/2. DOI: 10.1504/IJEIM.2003.002215.

- VUTSOVA, A. & YALAMOV, T., 2023. Institutionalized Academic Entrepreneurship And Academic Spin-Offs. *Strategii na obrazovatelnata i nauchnata politika – Strategies for Policy in Science and Education*, vol. 31, no. 1., pp.35-60 [In Bulgarian]. DOI: 10.53656/str2023-1-2-ins.
- YDRIZ, F., 2011. Person shall cease to have problems with own motivation when he had to inspire other. *Science & Technologies Journal*, Vol. I, No. 7, Available at: http://www.sustz.com/journal/VolumeI/Number7/ Papers/FahriYdriz.pdf.
- YORDANOV, D., 2019. Main characteristics of the modern entrepreneur. *Entrepreneurship*, Vol. VII, Iss. 1, pp. 7 – 15.
- YORDANOV, D., 2017, Business assessment of entrepreneurs' activity by the modification of Forbes methodology. *Infrastructure and communications in the 21st century Journal*, iss. 7 (11 12), pp. 248–252.

Prof. Dr. Nikolay Sterev

ORCID iD: 0000-0001-8262-3241 Business Faculty University of National and World Economy Sofia, Bulgaria E-mail: ind.business@unwe.bg